

GARDNERVILLE TOWN BOARD

Meeting Minutes

Lloyd Higuera, Chairman Mary Wenner, Vice Chairwoman Cassandra Jones, Board Member Ken Miller, Board Member Linda Slater, Board Member 1407 Highway 395 N. Gardnerville, Nevada 89410 (p)775-782-7134 (f): 775-782-7135 www.gardnerville-nv.gov

Contact: Carol Louthan, Office Manager Senior for any questions or additional information. You may also view the board packet online at the town's website.

Tuesday, May 5, 2015

4:30 p.m.

Gardnerville Town Hall

INVOCATION – Pastor Bill – Lifepoint Church (not present)

4:30 P.M. Chairman Higuera called the meeting to order and made the determination a quorum is present.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE - Chairman Lloyd Higuera led the flag salute

PUBLIC INTEREST COMMENTS (No Action)

This portion of the meeting is open to the public to speak on any topic not on the agenda and must be limited to 3 minutes. The Gardnerville Town Board is prohibited by law from taking immediate action on issues raised by the public that are not listed on the agenda.

No public comment.

E FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: APPROVAL OF AGENDA, with public comment prior to Board action.

The Gardnerville Town Board reserves the right to take items in a different order to accomplish business in the most efficient manner.

Motion Slater/Jones to approve the agenda.

No public comment.

Upon call for the vote, motion carried.

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: APPROVAL OF PREVIOUS MINUTES:

April 7, 2015 Regular Board meeting, with public comment prior to Board action.

Motion Miller/Wenner to approve the minutes as presented from April 7, 2015.

No public comment.

Upon call for the vote, motion carried.

CONSENT CALENDAR FOR POSSIBLE ACTION

Items appearing on the Consent Calendar are items that may be adopted with one motion **after public comment**. Consent items may be pulled at the request of Town Board members wishing to have an item or items discussed further. When items are pulled for discussion, they will be automatically placed at the beginning of the Administrative Agenda.

- 1. <u>For Possible Action</u>: Correspondence Read and noted.
- 2. <u>For Possible Action</u>: Health and Sanitation & Public Works Departments Monthly Report of activities Accepted.
- 3. <u>For Possible Action</u>: Approve April 2015 claims Approved.

Motion Wenner/Jones to approve the consent calendar.

No public comment.

Upon call for the vote, motion carried.

ADMINISTRATIVE AGENDA (Any agenda items pulled from the Consent Calendar will be heard at this point)

4. Not for Possible Action: Discussion on the Main Street Program Manager's Monthly Report of activities for April 2015.

Mrs. Lochridge reported the status of SB51 appears to be dead. Douglas County Commissioner Steve Thaler didn't think it was absolutely dead. He was going to research that and get back to us. Still keeping my fingers crossed. The Douglas/Alpine County application that we submitted for the Frontier America's Best Communities competition didn't make it into the quarter finals. We worked really hard on it. We got a lot of great information for future grant applications. Our seventh annual Thirsty Third Thursday wine walk season is about to begin. We have 25 businesses signed up so far. We have four new members. We will have a radio remote scheduled. The theme is PJ days. We are still working on the process of creating the 501c3. Thank you to Cassandra for answering all of our questions regarding the partnership between a c3 and c6 and also how the town could interact as well. Once we find out more I will keep you updated.

The Main Street Gala was on April 22nd. We honored attending volunteers and business members that support the program. Senator Dean Heller's office was kind enough to provide some volunteer certificates. Our 2014 volunteer of the year was Dorette Caldana, who is also the president of our board. The volunteers nominated her this year. Our design committee is working with a photo class at DHS to install a special sidewalk gallery display. The teacher, Casey Brennan, just brought in all the photos. We are planning to have them installed by the wine walk and then have another reception for the students honoring their work during the wine walk. We had a great turnout at our quarterly Main Street Mingle. This was hosted by Joyce's Fine Jewelry. We had a lot of new faces that joined us. We have 62 adopt-a-pots and 39 are spoken for so far. Sixty four flower baskets have been sponsored. We have 68 baskets total, so we only need four more sponsors.

5. For Possible Action: Discussion to approve, approve with modifications or deny a request by Main Street Gardnerville, to use Heritage Park from 8:00 AM on Saturday, October 10, 2015 to 4:00 PM for the Inaugural Slaughterhouse Lane Coffin Races for a family friendly event, waiving the park use fee of \$200, providing traffic control to close Ezell and Slaughterhouse Road for the event, with public comment prior to board action

Mrs. Lochridge corrected the date. It should be October 10. This is an event we have been talking about for a couple of years. We have a lot of the community interested. The outhouse races in Virginia City have really taken off. This is a take-off of what they are doing. We wanted your permission to move forward with the event.

No public comment.

Motion Jones/Slater to approve the request by Main Street Gardnerville to use Heritage Park from 8:00 a.m. on Saturday, October 10th to 4:00 p.m. for the inaugural Slaughterhouse Lane Coffin Races, waive the park use fee and provide traffic control to close Ezell at Slaughterhouse for the event. Motion carried.

6. <u>For Possible Action</u>: Discussion to approve, approve with modifications or deny a request by Ed Eggert, with SIERA (Sierra Intermountain Emergency Radio Association) amateur radio club to use a portion of Heritage Park from 9:00 AM on Saturday June 27, 2015 to Sunday, June 28, 2015 3:00 PM for an overnight staged emergency communication broadcast for training purposes, waiving the park use fee of \$600, with public comment prior to board action

Mr. Eggert, treasurer of SIERA, which was established as an emergency communication club for Douglas County and has approximately 42 members that meet every Tuesday night for practice drills. We provide communication across Nevada for the Pony Express Ride, Nevada Day and bike rides in the Lake Tahoe area. We provide training for those who want to become radio amateurs. We run classes and exams. We are approved by federal communications to give these exams. We try and encourage more and more young people to get into HAM radio. June 27 and 28 is the National Field Day Test for all amateur radio operators in the United States. It is sponsored by the American Radio Relay League. Last year we licensed about 43 new people in Douglas County.

Mrs. Slater asked if they have extended any invitations directly to the boy scouts.

Mr. Eggert responded yes.

Mrs. Slater asked where they have met in the previous years.

Mr. Eggert responded they have been using a parking lot in the rear of the Carson Valley Inn. But now that they have grown they cannot have us there this year.

Vice-Chairman Wenner asked if CVI charged them?

Mr. Eggert answered no.

Chairman Higuera mentioned they are the last line of defense in case another one of those tornadoes comes through and all the cell towers were knocked out. The county relies on them to set up a 911.

Mr. Eggert added they also do storm watch.

No public comment.

Motion Slater/Wenner to approve a request by Ed Eggert, with SIERA (Sierra Intermountain Emergency Radio Association) amateur club to use a portion of Heritage Park from 9:00 a.m. on January 27, 2015 to Sunday June 28, 2015 at 3:00 p.m. for an overnight staged emergency communication broadcast for training purposes waiving the park use fee of \$600. Motion carried.

7. For Possible Action: Discussion to approve, approve with modification or deny a request by Judy Sheldrew, to co-sponsor a patriotic concert with the Carson Valley Pops allowing them to use Heritage Park and the Sound Equipment for the Concert after the Freedom 5K Fun Run starting at 10:00 AM to 1:30 PM on July 4, 2015, waiving the park use fee of \$100 and sound equipment use fee of \$100, with public comment prior to board action.

Chairman Higuera called for public comment.

Mr. Glen Linderman is in favor of the event.

Motion Miller/Slater to approve a request by Judy Sheldrew to co-sponsor a patriotic concert with the Carson Valley Pops allowing the use of Heritage Park and sound equipment for the concert after the Freedom 5K fun run starting at 10:00 am to approximately 1:30 pm on July 4, 2015, waiving the park use fee of \$100 and sound use fee of \$100. Motion carried.

- 8. <u>For Possible Action</u>: Discussion to recommend approval, or denial, or approval with conditions of a development application for a Special Use Permit (DA 15-029) and Major Variance (DA 15-030) requested by Trinity Lutheran Church;
 - a. To allow a parking lot as a primary use in Public Facilities Zoning District, and
 - b. To waive the requirement of constructing a solid masonry wall separating the parking from the single family residential units north of the site;

located at 1480 Douglas Ave (APN: 1320-32-702-010) within the Public Facilities Zoning District, with public comment prior to board action, With presentation by RO Anderson Engineering, Inc.

Mr. Miller wanted to say he will be making no comments on this item unless asked questions. I will abstain from voting because of my long term relationship with Trinity Lutheran Church.

Ms. Stephanie Hicks, planner with R.O. Anderson Engineering, has three items that are before you tonight. Ms. Hicks gave a power point presentation. We did review the staff report. For the major variance, condition #1 the request was a solid fence be provided along the residential property, which we are in agreement with. The second half was that the fence be 6 foot tall above a point of the new parking lot elevation. The parking lot will be elevated up. If a new fence were to be constructed on that property you will have closer to an 8 foot fence on the residential side. Because of the disparity of use in the evening the opportunity to provide dense hedge or shrubbery will be sufficient for screening of the headlights. We respectfully request that second portion be removed from the conditions of approval.

Mrs. Jones asked about the usages as being one night a week, maybe two. But the day care is there as well. Hopefully everyone has picked up their kids by 6:00, but especially in the winter months, has anyone looked at what the usage of a paved parking lot would be in conjunction with the day care?

Mrs. Hicks doesn't know the answer to that. I do believe there is another area they drive up or drive through to pick up the children. They are not necessarily parking across the street to walk over to pick up their child. I think it would be minimal if somebody parked over there and walked over to the day care center.

Mrs. Jones' children went there when they were little. There were times when we couldn't use the actual parking that was right in front of the day care. We don't know whether a new parking lot would be used by the day care.

Mr. Dallaire's concern came from the back of the parcel. I wasn't sure of the intent in the design. In the back there are two concrete slabs coming from the building where Woodett's is located. Where the landscaping blocks off, are we trying to match the grade, or can it be reduced down. It appears they will try to do some sort of channel. The elevations in the field looks like they have been lowered them a half a foot. Is it possible to lower them more?

Mr. Anderson's objective is to try to match the elevation as much as we can. To get the grading here without storm drainage is very difficult. That area will be up, but the area between will be a transition area.

Mr. Dallaire noted there are no contours or elevations provided on the house side of the property line. It wasn't clear.

Mrs. Jones asked if the uppermost residential parcel, is part of the overall development? That's not a backyard, is it?

Mrs. Hicks responded it is RV storage.

Mr. Anderson explained there are three parcels.

Mrs. Hicks added two of which we have received a letter they are in support of the variance. We have letters from two of the owners.

Mrs. Jones wanted to clarify that there are three parcels, and two of the parcels are owned by the same trust.

Mrs. Slater asked if you go back to the parking lot what shrubbery or design will be put in on the Mill Street side to deflect any headlights going that direction.

Mrs. Hicks explained this is conceptual. A final landscape plan has not been completed. Again it will be the same situation that occurs today but there will be some improvement.

Mrs. Jones asked if the existing old growth trees will be removed.

Mrs. Hicks answered yes.

Mrs. Jones asked what the MUTCD requirements are.

Mr. Dallaire explained the criteria says 150 feet or more to a midblock crosswalk. This is 120. It makes sense where it is shown. We don't have a crosswalk painted on the street at Mill. Do we need to have a full blown ramp for ADA access or is there sufficient ADA parking on site similar to Sharkey's.

Mrs. Hicks did look at that. The requirement being asked for was for some additional signage. We are fine with that. As far as the ADA spaces, we did look at the existing site. Because of the grading it cannot be designated as ADA. Right now there are no proposed improvements. The other parcel is not part of the application. There were a couple of other conditions that we would like the opportunity to discuss with the board. This goes into the design review section of the report. There is discussion about the desire for town decorative street lighting to be included.

Mr. Dallaire would like to talk to the board and find out what the intent is there. We can go into that later with the design review.

Mrs. Jones asked if the other property owner was given any special notice or just the standard public notice.

Mrs. Hicks replied the standard public notice. Everyone was reached out to and there will be public noticing through the planning commission.

Chairman Higuera called for public comment.

Ms. Jeannie Lamb commented the vacant restaurant located by the opening between the two parking lots, will probably be selling alcohol. So that means people can come through there that have been drinking. Why can't you make the opening there for the cars to come out on this side and cover this. People that have been drinking are not going to be coming in here.

Mr. Anderson believed the representative of the church has done a remarkable job going to the adjacent owners and securing the reciprocal access and parking agreement. If somebody was inebriated and came through the church's parking lot, that is a risk. But frankly it is a risk whether or not they drove out there. There is an existing access here.

Mrs. Lamb pointed out there is a street there so they could go out on the street rather than going into a parking lot.

Mr. Anderson hopes if they are inebriated they will choose to go to the street or actually, call a cab.

Mrs. Hicks stated that is one of the design criteria elements when you have properties that are adjacent to each other to allow for connectivity between the parking lots so circulation is improved.

Mr. Chris Bonafetti, a member of Trinity Lutheran Church and the chairman of the parking lot committee, spent a lot of time trying to orchestrate this project. Trinity Lutheran Church has been a part of the community for over a century. This is more than a parking lot for our church. We look at it as an opportunity to put our best foot forward in the community, to enhance our mission work and to provide a springboard or platform for moving forward. This parking lot is not just for our congregation. It is for us to make our step out in to the community even more. It's taking an existing nuisance and putting it into something nicer. With this kind of enhanced development it will only benefit the area and the surrounding properties. We will adhere to whatever the property owners want to do. We appreciate your consideration of the special use permit and of the variance application.

Mimi Moss, Douglas County Community Development, wanted to let you know this item is scheduled to be heard by the planning commission next Tuesday, May 12. The county has prepared a staff report. We are recommending approval of the parking lot as a primary use and also recommending approval of the variance for the wood fence, as long as the applicant replaces the wood boards on his side of the property and makes it more private for the residents. I will note the parking lot will require parking lot lights. The parking lot lights would be limited to 15 feet in height. They have to have a complete cutoff. We are recommending the applicant provide a photometric detail on the amount of light spillage. We are also requiring that the lights be shut off at 10:00 o'clock at night. We still have to finalize the design review. It's more detail on the landscaping and some of the other components, but I don't see any issue with what has been submitted with the applicant so far. I am available for questions if you have any.

No further public comment.

Mrs. Slater likes the concept and the way they are willing to work with the commercial properties.

Mrs. Jones can see the businesses working with the church and being able to use the lot as well. Mimi's comments about the lights turning off at 10:00 p.m. answered questions that I had. Everything that Mimi said I would support as it goes through the planning commission.

Motion Jones/Wenner to approve the development application for a special use permit DA 15-029 with major variance, DA 15-030, requested by Trinity Lutheran to allow a parking lot as a primary use in the public facilities zoning district and to waive the requirement of constructing a solid masonry wall separating the parking from the single family residential units north of the site, located at 1480 Douglas Avenue, APN 1320-32-702-010.

Mr. Dallaire asked if they are modifying the condition for the six foot tall wall?

Mrs. Jones motion would include a solid fence, but remove the restriction it be six feet tall. Motion carried with Higuera/Wenner/Jones and Slater voting yeah and Mr. Miller abstaining.

9. For Possible Action: Discussion to approve, approve with modifications or deny a minor design review (DA15-031) requested by Trinity Lutheran Church, to construct a parking lot in a PF zoning district located north of Mill and East of Douglas at 1480 Douglas Ave (APN: 1320-32-702-010); with public comment prior to Board action, with presentation by RO Anderson Engineering, Inc.

Mrs. Hicks asked that the previous presentation and discussion also stand for this item. We would like to have a discussion about a couple of the conditions. 1a through c talks about the town's decorative street lights. We would respectfully request a through c be removed as part of the conditions. The lights that need to exist on site have to have a cutoff. There is also a concern that because they are decorative, they don't provide the same illumination that parking lot lighting would allow for and also the cost. They are much more expensive than the other lights. We would request a through c be removed. The last one was condition 5, which talks about dedication of the right-of-way to Douglas County for the 20 foot radius at the back of walk on the parking lot side, which the applicant is in agreement. The second half talks about the radius that exists on the corner at the bottom of the site. This parcel is not a part of this project application. There are no plans in place but there may be future development.

Ms. Jones asked if the requirement of the street lights was not removed, where would the street lights be located.

Mr. Dallaire clarified the parking lot lighting is fine. The street lighting is the issue. There is a power pole and an overhead line that goes to a power pole that we are moving the sidewalk around with a guy wire. The only thing on that pole is a light. We can take that out as part of the project. Down Douglas, in 2006-2007 they put conduit in to do decorative street lighting down Douglas Avenue all the way to 756. Currently there is existing conduit and boxes. Last month we talked about the strategic plan. Can we partner with the contractor and pay to put that in? Is that something we want to do? Are we going to light up Douglas like we did Highway 395 or are we just sticking with county code at intersections, turns and bulbs?

Mrs. Hicks thought that was the clarification that needs to be made in the conditions. I don't think there is any problem to work with the applicant during the construction process as long as it is not an additional cost to them and not a requirement they pay for and provide the lighting. If it could be clarified in the condition that it is not going to be the applicant's cost they would be agreeable.

Mr. Dallaire stated it is metered. Do we want a light? We could do a stub with a box and then add a box or two. Does the board want street lighting every two or three hundred feet?

Mrs. Jones believes that makes sense in commercial areas, but this is predominantly a residential area. I am concerned about light pollution. I would say county code.

Mr. Dallaire explained where the current street lighting is located. Do we need it here or just do an intersection with a meter.

Mrs. Slater would think just the end of Mill Street and do away with the other little light.

Vice-Chairman Wenner likes having them on the street corners where you can read the signs.

Mr. Miller noted the power pole is in the sidewalk area. The power pole has to come out. The trees are also in the sidewalk. They are coming out.

Mr. Anderson thought they would be agreeable to taking out the one power pole. We are happy to coordinate with the town to help you work with the contractor to get that conduit extended. We will do one meter and share with you the second one.

Chairman Higuera called for public comment.

Mr. Linderman asked what kind of lighting is in the area of the crosswalk. If there is any extra lighting it should be in that area so people driving by can see someone crossing the road.

Mr. Anderson shared there is a light provided at that intersection.

No further public comment.

Mr. Dallaire asked about the corner of Douglas & Mill by the big sign. There is a small section of land that would either need an easement or right-of-way. We put a condition in to provide the four foot spacing between the ramp and the grading of the ramp around the access point. We don't need to have the improvements done. But at least in the future when we redo this intersection we would have the ability to put in a real return.

Motion Slater to approve with modifications a minor design review DA 15-031 requested by Trinity Lutheran Church to construct a parking lot in a PF zoning district located north of Mill and east of Douglas at 1480 Douglas Avenue, APN 1320-32-302-010.

Mr. Dallaire wanted to clarify if it meant the modifications as discussed. What about the boundary line requirement on the south side where the church sign is located?

Mrs. Slater thought that could be a future discussion when that parcel is being considered for review.

Mrs. Yturbide asked for clarification in terms of the design review. Are you making it conditional? And if you are, what are the conditions?

Mr. Dallaire read the recommendations from page 9-2.

Mrs. Jones understood the motion would include 1a - to remove the existing power pole and street light on Douglas; 1b - to work with the town – the town would provide a decorative street light at the intersection of Mill Street and Douglas Avenue to be on a town meter; 1c - work with the town to install conduit and pull boxes for additional street lights along Douglas Avenue and Mill Street.

Ms. Yturbide would suggest you come back and talk about what you are going to do at another agenda item. For purposes of tonight we are just looking at the design review and what conditions the applicant has to meet. Portions of those conditions are really just cooperative in nature. You can talk about it in more broad terms so you can come back and change that slightly if you like. You want their cooperation.

Mr. Dallaire added "D" they are going to change the striping. Staff has the template for the two by eight.

Mrs. Jones mentioned they would also require conditions 2, 3, 4 and the first half of #5.

Mr. Dallaire asked Mr. Anderson if there was a plan on discharging the LID?

Mr. Anderson advised everything with respect to the storm drainage is retained on site. For the emergency overflow we agree with your recommendation that we will provide sidewalk cross drains for that.

Chairman Higuera asked if there was some way Linda could reference all of the discussion rather than being specific.

Mrs. Yturbide asked to clarify for purposes of the motion, when you indicated it would be the modification, I assume you also mean with the condition in the recommendation that is provided by staff. Right now it has been clarified it would include 1a, 2 through 5 or the first half of 5 and then there were some other provisions regarding 1b through d and if someone could help restate that for me those that the applicant will cooperate?

Mrs. Jones restated 1b, that the applicant will coordinate and cooperate with the town and the town will provide a decorative street light at the intersection of Mill Street and Douglas Avenue. 1c, cooperate and coordinate to install a conduit and pull boxes for additional street lights along Douglas Avenue and Mill Street.

Mrs. Slater withdrew her motion.

Motion Jones/Slater to approve with modifications the minor design review request by Trinity Lutheran Church to construct a parking lot in the PF zoning district located at 1480 Douglas Avenue, APN 1320-32-702-010 and the modifications will be the adoption of the staff recommendations subject to: that we will adopt 1a, Trinity Lutheran will remove the existing power pole and street light on Douglas Avenue; 1b they will cooperate and work with the town to install a decorative street light at the intersection of Mill Street and Douglas Avenue on a town owned meter pedestal; 1c, work with and cooperate with the town to install conduit and pull boxes for additional street lights along Douglas Avenue and Mill Street; and 1d, they will stripe the crosswalk per MUTCD and town continental striping. Trinity Lutheran will adopt the staff recommendations 2 through 4 and the first half of 5, which is the 20 foot radius at the back of the walk on the parking lot side for dedication. Motion carried with Miller abstaining.

Break at 5:53 p.m. Meeting continued at 6:02 p.m.

10. <u>For Possible Action:</u> Public hearing to adopt the Fiscal Year 2015-2020 Final Capital Improvement Plan (CIP), with public comment prior to Board action.

Mr. Dallaire didn't make any changes. I need to look at the street lights on Douglas. The meter box is \$2,000. We can come up with that. 10-2 to 10-9 is basically a summary. This was the plan based on the CIP, which is going to the county commissioners. We are meeting with SHPO tomorrow in Carson about the canopy at Gardnerville Station. We asked Sondra Condron if she was okay removing the canopy. She had no problem. We're hoping we can make it historic.

Mrs. Jones remembers the grant being dependent upon preserving the overhang.

Mr. Dallaire thought the structure came in after. We are working on that. We are spending some money to clean up the building.

No public comment.

Motion Miler/Wenner to adopt the fiscal year 2015-2020 final Capital Improvement Plan as presented. Motion carried.

11. For Possible Action: Public hearing to adopt the Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2015-2016, with public comment prior to Board action.

Mr. Dallaire made a presentation yesterday to the county commissioners, which is the same as what you are seeing. We changed the maintenance supervisor to a maintenance tech 1 due to budget constraints in health and sanitation. He gave an update on the cost allocation issue. Cost allocation is not accounted for in the 611 fund at this point. But we can take it out of the money we are putting into capital. We are counting on \$10,000 from the county for Main Street. We will see how that plays out.

No public comment.

Motion Miller/Jones to adopt the final budget for Fiscal Year 2015/2016 as presented tonight. Motion carried.

12. For Possible Action: Discussion to approve the updated town Strategic plan, Goals, Values and Vision for Fiscal Year 2015/2016 including, but not limited to:

a. Discuss Towns Strategic Plan and Goals,

b. Discuss Town Values,

c. Discuss Town Vision, and other matters properly related thereto; with public comment prior to Board action.

Mr. Dallaire changed the vision. On 12-5 under actions we added "actively pursue any recycling efforts and prepare a business plan. I added to the infrastructure that "it attributes to a safe and healthy community." Starting on 12-7 there is a summary of questions and your responses. Once we get some of the other projects completed we will come back and see what you would like to do. We can utilize the list for the dog park. I have not heard from Barry Jones. He was working on something with the Lions Club. They wanted to do a dog park and have their name associated with it. It is time to see where they are on that. Larry Henry, the architect for Sharkey's, will be helping us with the architectural plans on the fronton building pro bono.

No public comment.

Motion Jones/Miller to approve the updates in the revised town mission, strategic plan, goals and objectives for the 2015/16 fiscal year. Motion carried.

13. Not For Possible Action: Discussion on the Town Attorney's Monthly Report of activities for April 2015.

Ms. Yturbide reported in addition to the regular review we do with agenda and minutes, Mr. Rowe is working on an easement that was recommended by the water conveyance committee to grant the downstream users. It is drafted and sitting with the county for review. We haven't heard back yet. Mr. Rowe also met with Tom and Craig to deal with the trash truck that has been out of service for so long. He is writing a demand letter to either replace or refund money to the town. In addition, I think Tom already covered part of what we had discussed regarding the interlocal agreement with the county. Minden's town attorney did draft a document. I don't know that Mr. Rowe has actually seen it yet. He is aware of it and aware of the board's feelings and is prepared to review it. There were some meetings about basics, including storm drains.

14. Not For Possible Action: Discussion on the Town Manager/Engineer's Monthly Report of activities for April 2015.

Mr. Dallaire has been working with Peterbilt, Cummings, Arata, and Rush Trucks. We met with Mike and he is sending a demand letter. We will see what happens when they receive that. It's going to all entities involved.

Mr. Miller asked if Tom was going to report on the Main Street conference.

Mr. Dallaire has not had time to put anything together.

Mr. Miller shared Paula is collecting notes from those who attended. My notes alone are four pages.

When Mrs. Jones sends her staff to a conference I always say "come back and tell me the three things that you learned that is going to make a difference and that you are going to start implementing. We sit down, tell everyone why those three things matter, what's important about them and how they are going to make you do what you do different. I find that's a good way of filtering down. If you got together and said these are the three take-aways that should really make a difference for the Town of Gardnerville.

Chairman Higuera thought a written report would be good so board members could read it in their own time.

15. <u>Not For Possible Action</u>: Discussion on the Board members' activities and liaison committee reports including but not limited to; Carson Valley Arts Council, Nevada League of Cities, and Main Street Gardnerville.

Vice-Chairman Wenner reported if you check their website, they have a special event coming up on May 16th and 17th. It's called "Something in the Wind." It will be out at the Dangberg Ranch. There is a calendar on their website of all the events they have coming up.

Mrs. Slater reported the legislative session still has about 26, 27 days remaining. Wes Henderson reports to the legislative committee weekly. He is very frustrated. He will hold a conversation with a number of legislators concerning a bill one day and the next day their viewpoint has changed100 percent. The Main Street bill did not receive the exemption that was sought. Everyone supported the concept. But I believe the legislators were trying to find more of the revenues to support the governor's education bills in his budget. Anything that was going to be a cost was put on the back burner. That's where it lies right now. They're hoping maybe in the interim something can be established within Lieutenant Governor Hutchison's budget. The home rule also died. Right now Wes is keeping an eye on the amendments. The last thing is about our lobbyist. Last session we had a young lady named Lisa. This year Wes Henderson wanted to do it on his own and I don't think it was a good idea. Maybe you get influenced by the larger entities. But the idea is out there about Main Street, which is a good thing. In better times there might be a bill that will be brought forth again. Everybody supported it. It's just when it came right down to it you have to say what are the priorities. The priority right now is Governor Sandoval's bills on education.

Mr. Miller reported he had lunch with Bobby Thompson, who is the airport manager for the Douglas County facility. Paula has been trying to get an in to get our material out there. It so happens the weekend the Thunderbirds are in the area is also the week of our wine walk. The arrivals will be Wednesday and Thursday. It's important we get our material out about the wine walk. Bobby Thompson will get it in the welcoming packet for all the people who participate. The coffin races will be a great event. We are going to start working on it in June or July with the service

club on a challenge type basis. Make one club challenge against the other. Also Scott Bergan has come up with some instructions on the coffin about the minimum weight and those type of rules. I think it will be a great thing.

Chairman Higuera mentioned when we were talking to Minden, there was a woman who put together the presentation. She mentioned front porch concerts. It's done within a two, three or four block area. Maybe every three houses has a duo or trio, You buy a ticket and there is a wine walk kind of a thing hooked up with it, or whatever you want to serve. People go from front porch to front porch for the concerts.

Mr. Miller stated Paula had lunch with the Chamber of Commerce in Carson City, who is also thinking about the Main Street program. Minden is there and if we can get Carson City on board it will be an even better chance next session.

Mr. Dallaire announced the Carson Valley Visitors Authority wanted everyone to be aware of the softball tournament in July. It is a big softball tournament that will be happening in the valley. They are worried about restaurants and stores running out of food. Remind all the restaurants and grocery stores.

Mr. Miller asked if there is any time line on the Overland?

Mr. Dallaire advised they have their permit. We have been working with them. They just paved Courthouse Alley. They are working on the utility portion. The plan has been approved. The remodel is starting as soon as they are done with the utilities. They are hoping to be open by June.

Mrs. Jones made the disclosure that I have been working with the Gardnerville Enrichment Council, which is Main Street's 501c3 arm. I have been working with them since before I was elected. I am the 501c3 pro bono attorney. I am not on their board but it does need to be stated on the record.

PUBLIC INTEREST COMMENTS (No Action)

No public comment.

Meeting adjourned.

Lloyd Higuera Chairman Tom Dallaire Town Manager